

Lecture 16 (See notes for anything that's unclear)

From lecture 1a we saw that in order for the Lefschetz properties to be interesting, we need $\text{depth}(R/I) = 0$.

There are (at least) two natural ways to get this. RTW both arise in the context of Jacobian ideals (for example)

1. Let J be a saturated homogeneous ideal and let $I \subset J$ be an ideal for which $I^{\text{sat}} = J$. E.g. $J = \mathbb{I}(X)$ for some $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

This isn't that interesting

Ex 1 $J =$ ideal of 4 points in \mathbb{P}^3 - note $\dim [J]_2 = 10 - 4 = 6$

$I =$ ideal generated by a general 5-dim subspace of $[J]_2$.

$$h_{R/J} = (1, 4, 4, 4, \dots)$$

$$h_{R/I} = (1, 4, 5, 4, 4, \dots) \quad \text{because } I \text{ "grows" to all of } J \text{ in degrees } \geq 3. \text{ (Computer verified)}$$

If $f \in [J]_2 \setminus [I]_2$ then f is a socle element of R/I .

Nevertheless R/I has WLP.

Ex 2 $J =$ ideal of a line union a point in \mathbb{P}^3

$$= (x, y) \cap (y, z, t)$$

$$= (y, xz, xt) \subset k[x, y, z, t]$$

$$h_{R/J} = (1, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots)$$

$$I = (xy, xz, xt, y^2, yz, yt) \subset k[x, y, z, t]$$

$$= \text{ideal generated by } [J]_2.$$

$$h_{R/I} = (1, 4, 4, 5, 6, \dots)$$

R/I does not have WLP b/c if $l \in [R]_1$, $ly = 0$ so $\chi_l: [R/I]_1 \rightarrow [R/I]_2$ is not an isomorphism. ↗ y is a socle element

Ex1 and Ex2 both have depth 0 but positive Krull dimension. (see Def 3.13 in notes)

Recall if $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ has $\dim d$ then the Krull dimension is $d+1$.

2. R/I is artinian. (Definition coming in a sec.)

Recall:

Def A ring R is Cohen-Macaulay if $\text{depth}(R) = \text{Krull dimension of } R$.

A subvariety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (ACM) if $R/I(X)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

Now: R/I is artinian if $\text{depth}(R/I) = \text{Krull dim}(R/I) = 0$
(in particular artinian \Rightarrow CM)

Minimal Free Resolutions

Recall $\text{proj. dim}(R/I) = \text{length of MFR (starting at 0)}$

Recurring
Ex(a) $I = (x, y) \subset k[x, y, z, t]$ (ideal of a line in \mathbb{P}^3). MFR:

$$0 \rightarrow R(-2) \rightarrow R(-1)^2 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{proj dim} = 2$$

(b) $I = (x^2, xy, y^2) \subset k[x, y, z, t]$ ("fat" line)

$$0 \rightarrow R(-3)^2 \rightarrow R(-2)^3 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{proj dim} = ?$$

(c) $I = (xz, xw, yz, yw)$ (two skew lines)

$$0 \rightarrow R(-4) \rightarrow R(-3)^4 \rightarrow R(-2)^4 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{proj dim} = 3$$

we'll see that being longer precludes this R/I from being CM.

Betti Diagrams

Ex (a)

	0	1	2
0	1	2	1
1	-	-	-

(b)

	0	1	2
0	1	-	-
1	-	3	2

(c)

	0	1	2	3
0	1	-	-	-
1	-	4	4	1

Theorem (Auslander-Buchsbaum) (Special case)

Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let $I \subset R$ be an ideal. Then

$$\text{proj dim}(R/I) + \text{depth}(R/I) = \text{depth } R = n$$

Ex In (a), (b), (c), all have Krull dimension 2, and $n=4$

(a) has $\text{proj dim } 2 \Rightarrow \text{depth} = 4 - 2 = 2$

$$\Rightarrow R/I \text{ is CM}$$

(b) has $\text{proj dim } 2 \Rightarrow R/I \text{ is CM}$

(c) has $\text{proj dim } 3 \Rightarrow \text{depth}(R/I) = 4 - 3 = 1$

$$\Rightarrow R/I \text{ is not CM.}$$

Ex R/I artinian $\Rightarrow \text{depth}(R/I) = \text{Kdim}(R/I) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \text{proj dim}(R/I) = n - 0 = n$$

Note converse is false. In fact (Auslander-Buchsbaum)

$\text{proj dim}(R/I) = n$ iff $\deg h(R/I) = 0$ (but this doesn't force artinian).

From now on, unless specified otherwise we'll assume R/I is artinian (hence also CM) (so none of our examples applies)

Def Let R/I be artinian. An element $f \in R/I$ is a socle element

$\Leftrightarrow f$ is annihilated by $m = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$\Leftrightarrow f \in \frac{I : m}{I}$

The socle is the set of all socle elements.

Very useful fact when R/I is artinian:

The socle of R/I can be read from the last column of the Betti table (or diagram)!

Ex $I = (x^3, y^4, z^5, x^2y^2, xyz^2)$

$h_{R/I} : (1, 3, 6, 9, 9, 6, 3, 1)$

Betti diagram

	0	1	2	3
0	1	—	—	—
1	—	—	—	—
2	—	1	—	—
3	—	3	1	—
4	—	1	3	1
5	—	—	2	1
6	—	—	1	1
7	—	—	1	1

\Rightarrow one-dim'l socle in degrees

4, 5, 6, 7

you'd expect that in degree 7 (why?)

but not degrees 4, 5, 6.

Gorenstein Algebras

Def R/I is Gorenstein if it is CM and the rank of the last free module in the MFR is 1 (ie the last column adds up to 1)

In particular, if R/I is artinian Gorenstein then the socle of R/I is one-dimensional and occurs only at the end

e.g. $(1, 4, 8, 10, 11, 10, 8, 4, 1)$ Gor $\Rightarrow \dim \text{Soc}(R/I) = 1$ and it occurs in degree 8.

Def If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a subvariety and $R/I(X)$ is Gorenstein, we say X is arithmetically Gorenstein (AG) (Note Alexandra uses AG for "artinian Gorenstein")

Ex
1. $R = k[x, y, z]$, $I = (x^2, y^3, z^3)$ HF $(1, 3, 5, 5, 3, 1)$

Betti diagram

	0	1	2	3
0	1	-	-	-
1	-	1	-	-
2	-	2	-	-
3	-	-	2	-
4	-	-	1	-
5	-	-	-	1

note symmetry.

(note Gorenstein algebras are usually much less simple than this.)

Ex $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, $|X| = 5$

$\Rightarrow X$ is AG. (not artinian)

Remark The symmetry of the Betti diagram is related to the fact that R/I is self-dual. (Details omitted.) In particular, when R/I is artinian and Gorenstein, the Hilbert function is symmetric. More generally, Gorenstein of any dimension \Rightarrow the h-vector is symmetric.

Special case: Complete intersections

R/I is a CI if (recalling artinian) the number of minimal generators of I is n .

Ex previous example.

Remark for any n , $CI \Rightarrow$ Gorenstein.

For $n=2$, $CI \Leftrightarrow$ Gorenstein

Most important (motivating) Theorem for Lefschetz properties:

Thm (Stanley, Watanabe, RRR)

Over a field of char 0, any monomial CI $R^B / (x_1^{q_1}, \dots, x_n^{q_n})$ has SLP.

Extra stuff if time:

II. Artinian reductions

If R/I is CM but not artinian, we can always pass to the artinian reduction. More precisely:

If R/I is CM of $\text{depth} = \text{krull dimension} = d$ and L is any non-zero-divisor then $R/(I, L)$ has $\text{krull dimension} = \text{depth} = d-1$ so

$R/(I, L_1, \dots, L_d)$ (where all linear forms are general) is artinian.

Definition The Hilbert function of any artinian reduction of R/I by linear forms is called the h -vector of R/I .

Question We saw that for R/I CM of $\text{depth} = \text{kr-dim} \geq 1$ then WLP always holds. But how do you tell if

(a) a general artinian reduction of R/I has WLP?

(b) every artinian reduction of R/I has WLP?

Several papers have been written on this question.